#### Dyck Words, Pattern Avoidance, and Automatic Sequences

Lucas Mol, Thompson Rivers University Narad Rampersad, University of Winnipeg Jeffrey Shallit, University of Waterloo

**WORDS 2023** 



INTRODUCTION

REPETITIONS AND DYCK WORDS

DYCK FACTORS OF SOME AUTOMATIC SEQUENCES

#### DYCK WORDS

► A Dyck word is a string of balanced parentheses.

- ▶ 0 left paren
- ► 1 right paren

E.g.,

- ▶ 001011 = (()()) is Dyck
- ▶ 0110 = ())( is not

► Formally, x is Dyck if

• 
$$\mathbf{x} = \varepsilon$$

- x = 0y1 for some Dyck word y, or
- x = yz for some Dyck words y and z.

#### BALANCE AND NESTING LEVEL

► The balance of *x* is defined by

$$B(x) = |x|_0 - |x|_1.$$

► The word *x* is Dyck iff

B(x) = 0 and  $B(x') \ge 0$  for all prefixes x' of x.

The nesting level of a Dyck word x, denoted N(x), is the deepest level of parenthesis nesting in x, e.g.,

N(001011) = 2.

More generally,

 $N(x) = \max\{B(x') : x' \text{ is a prefix of } x\}.$ 

#### QUESTIONS

- What repetitions must appear in long Dyck words? What repetitions can be avoided? What is the relationship between avoidable repetitions and nesting level?
- Can Walnut be used to prove statements about the Dyck factors of certain automatic sequences?



INTRODUCTION

#### REPETITIONS AND DYCK WORDS

DYCK FACTORS OF SOME AUTOMATIC SEQUENCES

#### REPETITIONS

- The exponent of a word is its length divided by its smallest period, e.g.,
  - $alfalfa = (alf)^{7/3}$  has exponent 7/3
  - valtavalta =  $(valta)^2$  has exponent 2
- A word is  $\alpha$ -power-free if it contains no factors of exponent greater than or equal to  $\alpha$ .
  - E.g., the fixed point of g = [012, 02, 1] is 2-power-free.
- A word is α<sup>+</sup>-power-free if it contains no factors of exponent greater than α.
  - ► E.g., the Thue-Morse word is 2<sup>+</sup>-power-free.

Theorem: A characterization of overlap-free Dyck words.

Corollary: There are arbitrarily long overlap-free Dyck words.

Sketch of Proof:

- Let g = [012, 02, 1], and let  $\mathbf{s} = g^{\omega}(0)$ .
- ► Let *h* = [01,0011,001011].
- ► Let *x* be a prefix of **s** ending in 10.
- Then h(x) and 0h(x)1 are overlap-free Dyck words.

Note: These words have nesting level at most 3.

Theorem: If w is a  $\frac{7}{3}$ -power-free Dyck word, then  $N(w) \leq 3$ .

Theorem: There are  $\frac{7}{3}^+$ -power-free Dyck words of every nesting level.

#### Idea of Proof:

- We sketch the simpler proof that there are *cube-free* Dyck words of every nesting level.
- ▶ Define *f* = [001, 011].
- ► It is well-known that *f* is cube-free.
- Applying f preserves the Dyck property, and increases the nesting level by one.
- ► By induction, for all t ≥ 0, the word f<sup>t</sup>(01) is a cube-free Dyck word of nesting level t + 1.

#### SUMMARY: REPETITIONS AND DYCK WORDS

- There are arbitrarily long overlap-free Dyck words, but they have small nesting level.
- Dyck words of large nesting levels only become attainable when we allow 7/3-powers.



INTRODUCTION

REPETITIONS AND DYCK WORDS

DYCK FACTORS OF SOME AUTOMATIC SEQUENCES

#### WALNUT

- Walnut can be used to prove statements, written in a certain first-order logic, about automatic sequences.
- But the language of Dyck words is not definable in this first-order logic!
- So Walnut cannot directly handle the Dyck factors of all automatic sequences...

#### RUNNING-SUM SYNCHRONIZED SEQUENCES

For a binary k-automatic sequence s = (s(n))<sub>n≥0</sub>, define its running-sum sequence by

$$v(n) = \sum_{0 \le i < n} s(i).$$

We say that s is running-sum synchronized if there is a DFA accepting, in parallel, the base-k representations of n and v(n).

Theorem: Walnut can handle the Dyck factors of running-sum synchronized sequences!

The Thue-Morse sequence is running-sum synchronized.

01101001 ···

 $0\;1\;2\;2\;3\;3$ 

The Thue-Morse sequence is running-sum synchronized.

01101001 ···

 $0 \ 1 \ 2 \ 2 \ 3 \ 3 \ 3$ 

The Thue-Morse sequence is running-sum synchronized.

01101001 ···

 $0\;1\;2\;2\;3\;3\;3\;4\;\cdots$ 

The Thue-Morse sequence is running-sum synchronized.

01101001 ···

0 1 2 2 3 3 3 4 ···



- [1, 1][1, 0] is accepted, since v(3) = 2.
- ► [1,0][1,0], [1,0][1,1], and [1,1][1,1] are not accepted!

#### The DFA on the previous slide was built in Walnut as follows:

```
def even "Ek n=2*k": # accepts even numbers
```

```
def odd "Ek n=2*k+1": # accepts odd numbers
```

```
def V "($even(n) & 2*x=n) |
        ($odd(n) & 2*x+1=n & T[n-1]=@0) |
        ($odd(n) & 2*x=n+1 & T[n-1]=@1)":
# accepts n and v(n) in parallel
```

# We can now build an automaton that identifies the Dyck factors of Thue-Morse:



The automaton recognizing Dyck factors of Thue-Morse!

Now we can prove statements about Dyck factors of TM.

TM has Dyck factors of all even lengths.

#### We run the command

```
eval AllLengths "An $even(n) => Ei $Dyck(i,n)":
```

and Walnut returns TRUE.

Every Dyck factor of TM has nesting level at most 2.
 We run the commands

and Walnut returns TRUE.

We can also count Dyck factors of TM!

#### SUMMARY: AUTOMATIC SEQUENCES

- Walnut cannot directly handle the Dyck factors of all automatic sequences.
- Walnut can handle the Dyck factors of automatic sequences that are running-sum synchronized.

#### OUTLOOK

Some possible directions for future work:

- Extend to Dyck words with two or more types of parens.
- Develop techniques to recognize/characterize the Dyck factors of words that are not running-sum synchronized.

